

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,821	11/09/2001	Simpey Kuramoto	4646.000026	6562
23387 7.	590 02/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Stephen B. Sa			PRATT, E	IELEN F
Harter, Secrest 1600 Bausch &	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY			1761	

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/037,821	KURAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen F. Pratt	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ss
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11-12-04</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.			-
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	aria/or producti requirement		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	– .	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) dobjected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	2 1 121/4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawl	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF and Office Action or form PTC)-152.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	me Examiner. Note the attack	led Office Action of form 1.15	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			\
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	uments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in	n Application No	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have be	en received in this National S	tage
application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ant received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies f	iot received.	
Association (C)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 4- 9, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Cheerios (Recipes from Heart Healthy).

The claims are rejected for the reasons of record cited in the last office action.

Claims 1-17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deleon et al. (WO 00/47063).

The claims are rejected for the reasons of record cited in the last office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheerios or Dulebohn et al. or (WO 47063) in view of Cherrios.

The claims are rejected for the reasons of record cited in the last office action.

ARGUMENTS

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's arguments filed 11-12-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the definition that they supply of "homogenized" should be applied. However, applicants' specification is not limited to such a narrow definition. In addition, applicants' definition is to the treatment of milk, whereas the instant claims are to homogenizing cereal and milk and possibly stabilizers. Further, Webster's dictionary does not require such a narrow definition which is "a blend (diverse elements) into a uniform mixture b: to make homogenous 2 a: to reduce to small particles of uniform size and distribute evenly usually in liquid. B: to reduce the particles of so that they are uniformly small and evenly distributed: specific: to break up the fat globules of (milk) into very fine particles esp. by forcing through minute openings:", page 578 (Websters). Therefore, it is seen that absent a definition in the specification, that a broader definition can be applied to the claims of homogenization.

Applicants argue that the reference to Dulebohn does not disclose homogenization, that stirring and liquefying in a blender is not homogenization. As above, the dictionary definition of homogenization is broader than the one supplied in applicants' amendment and no basis in seen in the specification to limit the term "homogenization" to that of the one supplied.

Applicants argue as to claims 18 and 19, 20-29, 30-32 that a "homogenized" blend has not been disclosed. However, it is seen that the claims are not limited to the narrow definition supplied by applicants as stated above.

Applicants argue also as to claims 30-32 that SUCRELESSE of Dulebohn is used to stabilize milk proteins. However, the reference also uses polysaccharides such

Art Unit: 1761

as xanthan gum or carrageenan gum, etc. with a cereal grain (page 4, lines 5-13, 19-26). Claims 30-32 are not limited to any particular stabilizers, and do not exclude SUCRELESSE nor the use of juice products.

Applicants argue as to the particle sizes of claims 33-35 which have now been amended to require the use of 60 micron particle sizes. However, nothing critical is seen in the use of a particle size of 60 microns. Applicants' specification discloses that the size can be less than 100 microns, preferably 10 to 60 microns and the size can be determined by the mouth feel of the product (page 8, 2nd paragraph).

Applicants argue that there is no support that the SUCRELESSE for binding milk proteins to reduce precipitation is a food stabilizer as recited in the claims. This is not seen, because it is important to reduce the precipitation of milk proteins. Otherwise, the product would not have been smooth. Therefore, SUCRELESSE does provide a stabilizing function in keeping the milk protein in solution. In addition, other polysaccharides can be used as discussed above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen F. Pratt whose telephone number is 703-308-1978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Milton Cano, can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hp 2-11-04

HELEN PRATT PRIMARY EXAMINER